ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M07561 Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: 08/18/11 Project: Metro Self-Monitor, P.O. M07561, F&BI

108264

 Date Extracted:
 08/19/11
 Lab ID:
 108264-01 x10

 Date Analyzed:
 08/19/11
 Data File:
 108264-01 x10.040

Matrix: Water Instrument: ICPMS1

Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 88 60 125

Analyte: Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 655

 Nickel
 527

 Copper
 478

 Zinc
 21.2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self-Monitor, P.O. M07561, F&BI

108264

Lab ID: I1-579 mb Date Extracted: 08/19/11 08/19/11 I1-579 mb.032 Date Analyzed: Data File: Instrument: ICPMS1 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

 \mathbf{Lower} Upper Internal Standard: Limit: Limit: % Recovery:

Germanium 104 60 125

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium <1

Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/23/11 Date Received: 08/18/11

Project: Metro Self-Monitor, P.O. M07561, F&BI 108264

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 108251-12 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.36	82	86	67-132	5
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	4.15	80 b	77 b	73-119	4 b
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	78	79	50-144	1
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	1.31	86	88	46-148	2

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	\mathbf{Spike}	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	92	66-135
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	67-134
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	96	66-134
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	101	57-135

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- ${
 m jr}$ The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

108264

Hand ford

City, State, ZIP Scattle Wa 98134

Phone # 206-571-6033 Fax #206-3824309

ME 08-18-11

AI2

11 (1)	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY
Send Report To Send R	SAMPLERS (signature) / 100 7/10
Abova 1 Concludado	PROJECT NAME/NO.

METO Selfuno

M07561

PO#

PROJECT ADDRESS

	Page #	of	_
	TURNAROU	IND TIME	
	☐ Standard (2 We	eks)	
	@RUSH		ì
	Rush charges aut	horized by:	
ļ	***************************************		_
	SAMPLE D	ISPOSAL	
	☐ Dispose after 30) days	
	☐ Return samples		
	D Will call with in	structions	

						ANALYSES REQUESTED						10000							
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	CR-C J-NJ-20)		N-111-11111111111111111111111111111111			200		Notes
MO7561	01	8-18-11	1:30	H20	1							X							
				,,,,,,						- 1		- F. C.							
12				1							İ							<i>y</i>	d-
o cumpeone					->-			1	i		T	i		1					22131
							\neg						7	7					
						-	7			\dashv			-	\dashv					FEER wes
····		***************************************					\dashv	\dashv	_	-			-	\dashv	-	-			
	v-4					_	\dashv	\dashv			_	-	\dashv	+					V
	1			1			- 1	- 1		- 1		- 1		1	- 1		1.5		

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West

Seattle, WA 98119-2029

Ph. (206) 285-8282

Fax (206) 283-5044

FORMS\COC\COC.DOC

Received by:	100	Samples receiv	23	שמ
	,			
Relinguished by:	Nhan Phan	FeBI	11/8/10	1902
Received by:		Tamb	12/10/11	1405
Relinquished by:	VINCE FRICKSON	ACW	8/18/11	1405
SIGNATURE , ,	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 23, 2011

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on August 18, 2011 from the Metro Self-Monitor, P.O. M07561, F&BI 108264 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0823R.DOC